



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/743,432	12/23/2003	Kaoru Yamaki	0425-1101P	7534
2292	7590	09/13/2006	EXAMINER	
BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH PO BOX 747 FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747				MCNELIS, KATHLEEN A
		ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER
				1742

DATE MAILED: 09/13/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary for Applications Under Accelerated Examination	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/743,432	YAMAKI ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Kathleen A. McNelis	1742	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Since this application has been granted special status under the accelerated examination program,

NO extensions of time under 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be permitted and a SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE:

ONE MONTH OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER,
FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION – if this is a non-final action or a Quayle action.
(Examiner: For FINAL actions, please use PTOL-326.)

The objective of the accelerated examination program is to complete the examination of an application within twelve months from the filing date of the application. Any reply must be filed electronically via EFS-Web so that the papers will be expeditiously processed and considered. If the reply is not filed electronically via EFS-Web, the final disposition of the application may occur later than twelve months from the filing of the application.

Status

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 July 2006.
 2) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

3) Claim(s) 20 and 21 is/are pending in the application.
 3a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
 4) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
 5) Claim(s) 20 and 21 is/are rejected.
 6) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
 7) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

8) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
 9) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
 10) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

11) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
 a) All b) Some * c) None of:
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ .
3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ .	5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application
	6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ .

Claims Status

Claims 20 and 21 remain for examination wherein claims 20 and 21 are new. Claims 1-19 were canceled.

Status of Previous Rejections

The previous rejections of claims 1-19 are withdrawn in view of applicant's cancellation of claims 1-19.

Examiner's Comments

The term "root portion" as used in claim 20 has been interpreted by examiner in view of the specification as meaning close to the inflator (p. 6 lines 1-5 of specification).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 20 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter, which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

With respect to claim 20, examiner does not find support for a temperature range of 500 °C or higher. On page 10 of the original specification and page 8 of the amended specification, applicants state that it is preferable to set an upper limit to temperature to prevent the casings from melting, preferably the temperature should be set to 450 to 550 °C in the case of aluminum and 550 to 700 °C in the case of iron and stainless steel.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 20 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fukabori et al. (U.S. Pat. No. 5,849,062) in view of Morey (U.S. Pat. No. 4,362,276).

Fukabori et al. discloses a method for removal and treatment of gas generating air bag inflators from vehicles (abstract). The gas generators have metallic housing and an ignition means for igniting the gas-generating material therein (col. 1, lines 19-26). Fukabori et al. teaches that the gas generators should be classified according to the primary metal of the housing (i.e. stainless steel or aluminum; col. 2, lines 55-62). The gas is ignited by heating at a temperature between 150 and 450 °C to ignite and completely burn the gas generating agent (col. 4, lines 7-19). Gas generators are charged separately according to type of housing (col. 2, lines 58-62).

While Fukabori et al. teaches that the metallic materials are recovered from the gas generators (col. 2, lines 30-34), it is silent with regard to the wire harness, and therefore does not teach cutting and removing said wiring harness at the root portion of the wiring harness.

Morey (U.S. Pat No. 4,362,279) discloses a method for recovering and recycling metal and plastic from insulated wire. Wire is chopped or cut to length prior to separation from the insulation (col. 2 lines 18-34). Morey teaches that there is an economic benefit to recover both metals and plastic from wire (col. 2, lines 18-24). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to remove the wiring harness from the gas generating agent of Fukabori et al. and process the wiring harness according to the method of Morey, to benefit from the economic value of the recovered metal and plastic as taught by Morey. While Morey does not recite cutting and removing said wiring harness at the root portion of the

wiring harness, one of ordinary skill in the art would be expected to separate the wire close to the inflator in order to recover the greatest amount of wire, thus receiving the greatest economic benefit from the recovered metal and plastic.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-19 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kathleen A. McNelis whose telephone number is 571 272 3554. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:00 AM to 4:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Roy King can be reached on 571-272-1244. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

KAM
9/7/2006

KAM

ROY KING
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700